UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,717	06/20/2006	Hiroshi Rikimaru	IRD-0016	6597
	7590 09/14/200 IAN & GRAUER PL L	EXAMINER		
LION BUILDIN		CHAWAN, VIJAY B		
WASHINGTON	REET N.W., SUITE 50 N, DC 20036	01	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2626	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/14/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)						
		10/583,717	7	RIKIMARU, HIROSHI					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
			Vijay B. Ch		2626				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence ac	dress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE IN INSIGN SOLUTION OF THE INSIGN OF THE INSI	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. tatutory period w y will, by statute,	ATE OF THI 66(a). In no ever will apply and will cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>20 Ju</i>	ne 2006						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2b)⊠ This		n-final					
3)		′—			secution as to the	e merits is			
٥/١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims		,	,					
		annliaation							
	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
·	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.								
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election re	quirement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examiner	r.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	:: a) <u></u> acce	epted or b)[objected to by the !	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction	on is require	d if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Ination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date			4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

Application/Control Number: 10/583,717 Page 2

Art Unit: 2626

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-20 are objected to because of the following informalities: It is not clear from the claim language how senility is prevented, and as such, no patentable weight is given to prevention of senility aspect. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 11 and 12 appear to be the same claims, verbatim, and claims 13 and 16 depend upon either claims 11 or 12. It is not proper to have one dependent claim dependent on two different independent claims. For examining purpose, the claims are dependent only on claim 11. Similarly claim 3 depend on both 1 and 2, both independent claims. Rest of the claims need to be reviewed and corrected for errors such as these. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 9, 11, 12, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wright et al., (6,109,107).

Application/Control Number: 10/583,717 Page 3

Art Unit: 2626

As per claim 1, 2, 9, 11, 12 and 19, Wright et al., teach an apparatus that constructs a noise-vocoded speech sound signal produced by dividing at least a portion of a speech signal into a frequency band signal and subjecting the frequency band signal to noise degradation, and outputs the Noise-Vocoded Speech Sound signal (Fig.2, Col.4, lines 13-58, Col.3, lines 29-48).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 3-8, 10, 13-18, 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wright et al., (5,303,327) in view of Applicant's admitted prior art.

Wright et al., do not explicitly teach wherein the noise-vocoded speech sound signal in which a component of sound signal is subjected to noise is generated, comprising: a first bandpass filter section having a plurality of bandpass filters for extracting a signal of a prescribed frequency band from the speech signal, an envelop extraction section having envelope extractors for extracting an envelope of each frequency band signal extracted by the first bandpass filter section, a second bandpass filter section having a plurality of bandpass filters for extracting a noise signal

Art Unit: 2626

corresponding to the prescribed frequency band from a noise source signal, a multiplication section for multiplying outputs from the envelop extraction section and the second bandpass filter section for each frequency band, and an addition section for summing up outputs from the multiplication section to produce the Noise-Vocoded Speech Sound signal.

Applicant's admitted prior art teaches wherein the Noise-Vocoded Speech sound signal in which a component of a sound source signal is subjected to noise is generated by: extracted a frequency band signal with a predetermined frequency band from at least one portion of the sound signal by a first band filtering procedure having a plurality band filtering procedures; extracting an amplitude envelope of each frequency signal by an envelope extracting procedure; generating a frequency band noise signal corresponding to the predetermined frequency band from a noise source signal by a second band filtering procedure having a plurality of band filtering procedures; multiplying the frequency band signal by the frequency band noise signal in a multiplying procedure and accumulating outputs obtained by the multiplying procedure in an adding procedure (page 1, lines 17-25).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the system of Wright et al., wherein the Noise-Vocoded Speech sound signal in which a component of a sound source signal is subjected to noise is generated by: extracted a frequency band signal with a predetermined frequency band from at least one portion of the sound signal by a first band filtering procedure having a plurality band filtering procedures; extracting an amplitude envelope

Art Unit: 2626

of each frequency signal by an envelope extracting procedure; generating a frequency band noise signal corresponding to the predetermined frequency band from a noise source signal by a second band filtering procedure having a plurality of band filtering procedures; multiplying the frequency band signal by the frequency band noise signal in a multiplying procedure and accumulating outputs obtained by the multiplying procedure in an adding procedure as taught by Applicant's admitted prior art, because it would ensure that the noise is properly imposed within the required frequency bands.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please see attached form PTO-892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vijay B. Chawan whose telephone number is (571) 272-7601. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday Through Friday 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on (571) 272-7602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/583,717 Page 6

Art Unit: 2626

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Vijay B. Chawan/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2626

vbc 9/14/09